addressed all of these claims.

In response to the rejection, Applicant withdrew claims 1-5 and cancelled claim 8; claims 6 and 7 were accepted as allowed claims. Consequently, with the exception of the addition of a new claim nine Applicant's amendment, on its face, addressed all of the claims referenced by the Examiner. Nevertheless the assertion that the amendment did not address or include all claims has persisted. If, in the Examiner's view, the instant filing does not include "all claims," Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner include the text of the presented for examination, including the missing claims in the Office Action.

In sum, with respect to the Examiner's decisions in the Office Action dated September 30, 2003, Applicant adopts the Examiner's decisions and respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw claims 1-5, allow claims 6 and 7, cancel claim 8 and replace it with a new claim 9.

## **SPECIFICATION**

Based upon the discussions between Applicant and counsel, the two drawings labeled "4A" and "5A" are now considered unnecessary for the allowance of the invention.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that drawings "4A" and "5A" be withdrawn.

Additionally, in light of the withdrawal of drawings "4A" and "5A" no changes will be made to the Specification.

## **CLAIMS**

In the Office Action, claims 1-5 of the application were rejected based upon 35 USC 112. 35USC 102 and 35 USC 103. Claim 8 was also rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C.

04\01\2006 16:22 202-722-0040 SCOTT&YALLERY-ARTHUR

paragraph two for indefiniteness. Applicant respectfully withdraws claims 1-5 and requests the cancellation of Claim 8. The allowance granted with respect to Claims 6 and 7 is hereby adopted. A new claim 9 is added in place of Claim 8.

Based upon the foregoing, Applicant, through counsel, hereby submits the following complete listing of all claims:

04/07/2006 16:22 202-722-0040 SCOTT&YALLERY-ARTHUR